Interview Summary	**Application No.**	**Applicant(s)**
	09/997,532	GETTEMY, SHAWN R.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Steven H. Rao	2814	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _Steven H. Rao_.   (3)_____.

(2) _Anthony Murabito (35,295)_.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: _23 August 2005_.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _1-32_.

Identification of prior art discussed: _Mamiya and Kubo_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.   g)☒ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _Applicants' explained why they taught their presently recited claims overcame the Final rejection. The examiner explained why the presently recited claims did not over come the Applied art. The Examiner suggested ways to amend atleast independent claims in a RCE which Applicants' will file . ._

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required